

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,434	03/03/2004	Charles T. Hatch	146392	2433
23413 7	590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			DESTA, ELIAS	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2857	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)	
	10/708,434	HATCH, CHARLES T.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elias Desta	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	farch 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat	ion No	
application from the International Burea	<u>-</u>	ed iii tiiis National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.	
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date March 3, 2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/708,434

Art Unit: 2857

Detailed Action

Abstract

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following minor informality:

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Application/Control Number: 10/708,434

Art Unit: 2857

Claim rejection – 35 U.S.C. 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. <u>In reference to claims 1-14</u>: the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. There does not appear to be practical application, i.e., no useful, concrete tangible result, because there are no physical signals applied to real world device in the claims.

A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result; i.e., the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. See AT &T, 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2d at 1452. Likewise, a machine claim is statutory when the machine, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result (as in State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601) and/or when a specific machine is being claimed (as in Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557 (in banc).

For example, a computer process that simply calculates a mathematical algorithm that models noise is nonstatutory. However, a claimed process for digitally filtering noise employing the mathematical algorithm is statutory.

The claims constitute a model where the input to the model is a series of force waveforms applied to the device. These waveforms are compared with values that are generated with a well-behaved function to produce a desired frequency values.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,434

Art Unit: 2857

The steps noted in the claims do not produce a tangible or useful result where the outcome can be utilized for real, concrete and tangible application. The input applied to the device and the output obtained from the system simply characterizes a model that correlates a force vector with a corresponding frequency waveform.

The claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The claims constitute a model with no practical application, i.e., no useful, concrete tangible result, because no physical signal applied to real world device in the claims.

Conclusion

- 5. Citation of Pertinent prior art:
 - ▶ <u>Blades</u> (U.S. Patent 5,729,145) teaches method and apparatus for detecting arcing in AC power system by monitoring high frequency noise.
 - Cates et al. (U.S. Patent 5,204,517) teaches method and system for control of a material removal process using spectral emission discrimination.
 - > <u>Dimino et al.</u> (U.S. PAP 2005/0007096) teaches system and method for proactive motor wellness diagnostic.
 - ➤ <u>Tenhunen et al.</u> (IEEE Article, 'Impulse Method to Calculate the

 Frequency Response of the Electromagnetic Forces on Whirling Cage

 Rotors') teaches an impulse method to calculate the frequency response

Art Unit: 2857

of the electromagnetic forces acting between the rotor and stator of a cage induction motor when the rotor is in whirling motion.

- Zhang et al. (IEEE Article, 'A Mathematical Model of Myoelectric
 Signals Obtained During Locomotion') teaches an analytical expression
 for the myoelectric signal that is derived using integral pulse
 frequency and amplitude modulation (IPFAM) approach.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elias Desta whose telephone number is (571)-272-2214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571)-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)-273-8300 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-1750.

Elias Desta Examiner Art Unit 2857

-ed

September 26, 2005

MARC S. HUFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800